

REMARKS

As a preliminary matter, the Examiner is thanked for the withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103 and for the allowance of claims 1 to 3 and 5 to 90.

The close reading of claim 91 is also acknowledged with gratitude. Claim 91 is now amended to delete the extraneous phrase "said second glycol component" from line 8. As a result of this amendment, it is believed that the metes and bounds of claim 91 and of claim 93, which depends from claim 91, are reasonably clear to those of skill in the art. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the rejection under 35 C.F.R. § 112, second paragraph, be withdrawn upon reconsideration.

The final Official Action has also objected to the amendment to the specification that was introduced in the Response filed on February 12, 2007, on the grounds that this amendment introduced new matter into the specification. Applicant respectfully maintains, however, that this amendment did not introduce any new matter into the specification because it merely rectified an apparent error through which two starting materials and their quantities were inadvertently omitted from Example 1. Moreover, those of skill in the art are capable of calculating the quantity of each starting material using the description of the polymer product, elementary principles of stoichiometry and the ample description in the specification. In particular, a basis for this amendment may be found in Examples 2 through 7, in Comparative Examples CE 1 through 5, in the specification on page 15 at line 33 and continuing to page 18 at line 13, and in the claims as originally filed, *inter alia*.

Nevertheless, in light of the above reasoning and in order to advance the prosecution of the present application, Applicant is now cancelling the previously submitted amendment. Consequently, Applicant respectfully requests that the objection to the specification under 35 U.S.C. § 132(a) be withdrawn upon reconsideration.

Finally, the amendments presented herein are directed to matters of form expressly set forth in the final Official Action. 37 C.F.R. § 1.116(b)(1). Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that these amendments be entered into the application.


Conclusion

A Petition for an Extension of Time for one month and the required fee for the extension are filed concurrently herewith. Should any further fee be required in connection with the present response, the Examiner is authorized to charge such fee, or render any credit, to Deposit Account No. 04-1928 (E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company).

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is believed that pending claims 91 and 93 are also in condition for allowance, and such action is earnestly solicited. It is further respectfully requested that the present application be passed rapidly to issue.

In closing, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney by telephone at (302) 892-1004 to conduct any business that may advance the prosecution of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,



MARIA M. KOURTAKIS
ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT
Kelly Law Registry on behalf of DuPont Legal
Registration No.: 41,126
Telephone: 302-892-1004
Facsimile: 302-992-3257

Dated: September 4, 2007